



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,353	02/02/2004	Ganapathy Krishnan	32052-9447US4	7666
25096	7590	03/24/2010	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP			WINTER, JOHN M	
PATENT-SEA				
P.O. BOX 1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			3685	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentprocurement@perkinscoie.com
skempe@perkinscoie.com



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAR 23 2010

Perkins Coie LLP
Patent-Sea
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, WA 98111-1247

In re Application of
Ganapathy Krishnan et al.
Application No. 10/770,353
Filed: February 2, 2004
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SECURELY
INCORPORATING ELECTRONIC INFORMATION
INTO AN ONLINE PURCHASING APPLICATION

RESPONSE TO PETITION
TO EXPUNGE FILED
UNDER 37 CFR 1.59(b)

This is a response to the ten (10) petitions under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed on March 10, 2010 to expunge information from the above identified application.

The decision on the petitions will be held in abeyance until allowance of the application or mailing of an *Ex parte Quayle* action or a Notice of Abandonment, at which time the petition will be decided.

Petitioner requests that items contained on 10 separate Information Disclosure Statements (IDS) be expunged from the record if not found to be material to the patentability of the application. The proprietary document was filed in accordance to MPEP § 724. The petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h) has been paid.

The decision on the petition is held in abeyance because prosecution on the merits is not closed. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to make a final determination of whether or not the material requested to be expunged is "material," with "materiality" being defined as any information which the examiner considers as being important to a determination of patentability of the claims. Thus, the decision on the petition to expunge must be held in abeyance at this time.

In the meantime, the document will be closed whereby any non-Patent and Trademark Office personnel will be precluded from viewing or having access to the document, such as via public PAIR.

During prosecution on the merits, the examiner will determine whether or not the identified document is considered to be "material." If the information is not considered by the examiner to be material, the information will be removed from the official file.



Wynn Coggins, Director
Patent Technology Center 3600
(571) 272-5350

SM/sm: 3/16/10

